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Aspect-oriented programming is a novel topic in the software engineering and languages communities. AOP appears to have the potential to significantly improve the reliability of programs, particularly by modularizing error-handling policies and allowing for easier maintenance and better reuse. In this article we introduce AspectJ, the first aspect-oriented programming language, and demonstrate how you can use it to construct more reliable software.

Modularity in Exception Handling

Traditional exception-handling code suffers from many problems, the most prominent being that such code tends not to be very modular. The typical reason for this problem is that programmers treat exception handling as an ad hoc process; programmers often sprinkle handlers carelessly throughout the code and write them on a last-minute, as-needed basis, instead of designing them in from the start. Programmers rarely reuse exception-handling code or apply any abstraction to handlers whatsoever.

About the only time programmers apply abstraction to exception handlers is when they employ an exception handler to address multiple types of errors. Unfortunately, handling exceptions generically can result in unreliable and ineffective code. For example, programmers often handle otherwise unanticipated exceptions with catch-all handlers. The problem with this solution is that the programmer often has no idea how to recover gracefully from certain situations. Instead, the programmer creates exceptions that log the problem and then exit instead of recovering dynamically.

We are not arguing against generic exceptions. For example, it might be nice to handle all remote method calls that fail using RemoteException by retrying the operation three times before aborting. Unfortunately, such functionality would likely require that the programmer touch many different pieces of code.

Ideally, it is better to combine generic exceptions with specialized functionality (programmed on a case-by-case basis for each exception). To do so using traditional exception-writing techniques, programmers must manually change every exception, yielding much duplicate code. Another problem is maintenance of exception-handling code. For example, the intended global nature of exception logging might not be intuitive to those who will someday maintain the code. Future maintainers might add new exception handlers and forget to add appropriate logging functionality.

Crosscutting Concerns

The key issue that makes exception handling difficult from a management per-
The most prominent approach to crosscutting concerns is AOP. It supports a traditional object-oriented programming model and offers several powerful additions. In AOP, traditional object-oriented programs are written in a base programming language. However, the programmer can define aspects that map to emergent crosscutting concerns in a traditional program. These aspects are modular units that avoid crosscutting.

Conceputally, aspects are separate from objects. Aspects can observe other objects and react to their behavior. In an AOP language, programmers can write code that will get called before any method call, and might just as easily write code that will get called before any method call in any public member of any public class in a set of packages.

In a way, aspects are the opposite of inherited classes. With inheritance, classes choose what functionality they wish to subsume from other objects. Aspects, on the other hand, get to choose what functionality other objects will subsume.

**Exception Handling with AspectJ**

The only AOP language currently seeing significant use is AspectJ, from Xerox Parc (www.aspectj.org). It is an extension of Java that adds four language constructs to support the aspect-oriented paradigm: aspects, join points, advice, and code introduction. We will introduce each construct in the context of improved exception handling.

Aspects

Much like a class, aspects are typed entities that contain functionality. However, aspects are unlike classes in that they are meant to capture crosscutting concerns to be injected into other types. Also, aspects can contain new programming elements that classes cannot.

In the context of exception handling, programmers might wish to have a single aspect that encapsulates a system-wide exception-handling strategy. Or they might desire a single aspect for each category of exception that they wish to handle uniquely. They can even create an aspect that supplements whatever exception handling is otherwise done, forcing each thrown exception to be logged.

**Join Points**

Aspects cannot crosscut objects arbitrarily. AspectJ allows crosscutting only at well-defined points, such as during object construction, method entry, or (in the future) member variable access points. Thus, aspects can only introduce their supplemental functionality at these points, called join points. The specification for naming a join point is called a pointcut declaration. At present, AspectJ does not allow the user to select places where exceptions are thrown as a join point. However, the programmer can specify method entry as a join point and add code to deal with exceptions that a method raises but does not catch. It is also possible to specify the entry point of exception handlers as join points, thus allowing programmers to address any exception that is caught and ignore those that are not.

**Advice**

After the programmer has defined the points at which an aspect adds behavior, the behavior to be added must be defined. Such behavior is called advice. The contents of advice can contain anything you can put in an arbitrary Java method. The programmer can add advice before a join point runs or after it finishes running, or can force advice to run instead of the join point. If the programmer wants an “exception logging” aspect that logs exceptions that get propagated past a method exit, he or she could add after advice to method executions. In the behavior code, the programmer would try to catch an exception; if he or she caught one, it would be logged and reraised. Otherwise, nothing would be done.
AspectJ allows for more granularity with after advice; the programmer can explicitly write behavior that runs only when an exception is thrown or when a join point executes successfully. In these cases, both the thrown exception and the return value (if any) are easily accessible. Such functionality makes exception-handling aspects easier to write.

**Code Introduction**

With code introduction, programmers can add variables and methods into arbitrary types by using aspects. In the context of error handling, such functionality might be useful for keeping track of class-specific error rates.

**An Example Aspect**

The code in Figure 1 is a simple example of an aspect that prints all exceptions not handled by any given method in the current package. We could use this code during testing to see whether unexpected errors propagate out of a package, thereby indicating a bug in the package. We might wish to keep this code in the final version, logging the error to disc instead of printing it. And if users consent to having such logs periodically mailed back to the software maintainers (so that bugs in the software that went uncovered at testing time would get reported), maintainers would not need to rely on end users to notice and report problems.

In this example, we specify a pointcut named allMethods, which cuts all executions of any function in the current package. The executions keyword specifies that we wish to cut method executions, and the argument is a wildcard expression declaring that we wish to cut all methods with any signature. The first asterisk indicates that matched methods might return anything, the second indicates that any method name should match. The double dot indicates that matched methods might have any number of arguments.

Our pointcut has some advice defined for it when an exception is thrown. Our advice could be specified for multiple pointcuts, which is why the pointcut’s name is specified after a colon (this name could be a list of names instead).

A aspect-oriented programming definitely shows promise as a technique for building more reliable software. We hope that programmers will be able to use the technology to encapsulate all sorts of robustness features that were previously difficult to abstract. For example, we see security as an area where aspect-oriented programming could greatly ease the burden for developers.

**Figure 1. An AspectJ aspect for reporting unhandled exceptions in a package.**

```java
aspect ExceptionPrinter {
    pointcut allMethods(): executions(* *(..));
    static after() throwing (Exception e ) : allMethods() {
        System.out.println("Uncought exception: " + e);
    }
}
```
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